



Analysis of the ABS System in the MiLB

Matt Kendig
Ohio State Sports Analytics





01 Introduction

Overview of project

02 Data and Method

Description of data used, cleaning procedures, and process

03 Results

Reporting and quantifying impact on the game

04 Discussion

How can teams, players, and the league use this information?



Introduction

What is the Automated Ball-Strike System?

- Robotic Umpiring performed by cameras capturing the location of each pitch crossing the midpoint of the plate.
- Horizontally the zone is defined as the width of the plate, vertically the top and bottom of the zone are set as certain percentages of a batter's listed height.
- The zone has gone through many different shapes, sizes, and iterations...



Source: MLB Network



Introduction

Minor League Baseball began implementing automated balls and strikes (ABS) in their highest division (AAA) in the 2022 season and in lower leagues in 2019.

	2019-2021	2022	2023	2024
AAA		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> West implements full ABS post 5/17 East uses full ABS for games played in Charlotte 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Schedule shifts to weekly 6 game series Tues.-Sun. ABS used for first 3 games, CHAL used for second 3 games 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre 6/25: Half and Half system used Post 6/25: Full challenge system
Low-A or equiv.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lots of testing 3D to 2D Changed Width Changed Height 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low-A Southeast begins testing a challenge system in select games 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FSL begins tracking zone by rolling median of a hitter's stance





02

Data/Method





799,361

Total Pitches in AAA mapped from '22-'24 called under full ABS or Challenge



Data

Pitch Type Breakdown		
	Observations	Percentage
Fastballs	334195	41.81%
Offspeeds	92804	11.61%
Breaking Balls	195377	24.44%
Sliders	175670	21.98%

Source: BaseballSavant

FB: 4-Seam, Cutter

OS: Changeup, Knuckleball, Split-Finger

BB: Curve, Knuckle-Curve, Slurve,
Screwball, Sinker, Eephus

SL: Slider, Sweeper



Method

For each pitch, compared horizontal and vertical location to the strike zone for that batter.



Added denotation for whether the call on the field was correct or missed.



Parsed through and identified the system each game was played under to group our results.





03

Results



Results

Missed Calls on Pitches Taken By System

AAA MiLB (2022-2024)

	ABS	CHALLENGE	DIFFERENCE	SE
Total	3.96%	7.07%	-3.12%	0.0005
Home	3.88%	6.99%	-3.11%	0.0007
Away	4.03%	7.16%	-3.12%	0.0007
Fastballs	3.67%	7.20%	-3.53%	0.0008
Offspeeds	3.24%	5.30%	-2.06%	0.0013
Breaking Balls	4.24%	7.71%	-3.47%	0.0010
Sliders	4.57%	7.03%	-2.46%	0.0007

Source: BaseballSavant

Shows us statistically significant differences in the way human ump's call:

Fastballs, compared to Offspeeds

Breaking Balls, compared to Sliders



Results

Bias Towards Hitters By System

AAA MiLB (2022-2024)

	ABS			Challenge			
	FAVORABLE	UNFAVORABLE	DIFF	FAVORABLE	UNFAVORABLE	DIFF	DIFF.BETWEEN.BOTH
Total	1.73%	2.23%	-0.51%	2.34%	3.01%	-0.67%	0.16%
Home	1.67%	2.20%	-0.53%	2.35%	3.00%	-0.65%	0.12%
Away	1.77%	2.26%	-0.49%	2.33%	3.01%	-0.68%	0.20%
Fastballs	1.54%	2.13%	-0.59%	2.26%	3.07%	-0.81%	0.22%
Offspeeds	1.65%	1.59%	0.06%	2.13%	2.25%	-0.13%	0.19%
Breaking Balls	1.84%	2.40%	-0.57%	2.68%	3.27%	-0.59%	0.02%
Sliders	1.99%	2.58%	-0.58%	2.21%	3.00%	-0.78%	0.20%

Source: BaseballSavant

Little variance by group (ex. Home vs. Away, by Pitch Type)

Both Systems lean **slightly unfavorable** towards hitters (Shortening At-Bats)

Little Variance between the two systems





04

Discussion



Discussion - Accuracy

- Fastballs vs. Offspeeds
 - ABS showed less variance in correct calls for fastballs
 - Could point to human deficiency in accuracy as speed of pitch increases
- Breaking Balls vs. Sliders
 - ABS showed less variance in correct calls for breaking balls
 - Could point to human deficiency in correctly reading in pitches with high vertical movement vs. horizontal movement



Discussion - Bias

- Our test bias shows very little variance between the two systems
 - This uncovers a deeper nuance to the game as well
- Under challenge, the zone varies not only from game to game, but even from pitch to pitch
 - The “strike zone” is dynamic, shifting constantly not only from a batter’s stance but also due to game situation and an umpire’s own judgement of fairness
- It’s an important reminder that all umpires are required to call a game at a certain level of accuracy to be hired, but they stick around through consistency and keeping the game as fair as **humanly** possible



Discussion - Future of ABS

- There is a consensus among fans, players, and coaches alike that the challenge system is the best compromise
- The system allows the technology to transition into the professional ranks in high leverage situations while:
 - Preserving the human element of the umpire position
 - Preventing the devaluation of catcher framing in a full-ABS system



Discussion - Teams' Perspective

Actionable steps teams can take to prepare for the tech entering the majors:

- Have a "leverage index" easily accessible to help players understand good and bad situations to challenge in
 - Think: 4th down "Go For It" charts in the NFL
- Understand that players with high pitch velocity and a lot of break tend to cause human umpires more troubles
 - Players should be more inclined to challenge on pitches like this



A decorative background featuring several red five-pointed stars scattered in the corners of the slide.

Thank You

Matt Kendig

Ohio State Sports Analytics

kendig.27@osu.edu

kendigsportsanalytics.com

[LinkedIn](#) | [Project GitHub](#)

CREDITS: This presentation template was created by **[Slidesgo](#)**, and includes icons by **[Flaticon](#)**, and infographics & images by **[Freepik](#)**